

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/659,878

Applicant(s)

JOSHI ET AL.

Examiner

ROBERT MOSSER

Art Unit

3714

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Robert Mosser.

(3) Jeremie Moll.

(2) Wayne L. Tang (reg no 36,028)).

(4) Joel Jaffe.

Date of Interview: January 31st, 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,2,4-12,14-23 and 25-27.

Identification of prior art discussed: Celona (USP 5564700) Green (USP 5538252).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the interpretation of pending claims, and Applicant proposed amendments. No agreement was reached with regards to patentable subject matter or claim language.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


ROBERT E. PEZZUTO
SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER

/ROBERT MOSSER/

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 10/659,878 First Named Applicant: Shirdhar Joshi
 Examiner: Robert E. Mosser Art Unit: 3714 Status of Application: Final

Tentative Participants:

(1) Wayne L. Tang (2) Robert E. Mosser (5) ~~JOHN HOTALING~~
 (3) Jeremie Moll (4) Joel Jaffe

Proposed Date of Interview: January 31, 2008 Proposed Time: (EST) AM

Type of Interview Requested:

(1) ☐ Telephonic (2) ☒ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES ☒ NO

If yes, provide brief description: _____

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>§102 and 103 Rej.</u>	<u>1-2, 4-12, 14-23, 25-27</u>	<u>Celona, Green</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(2) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☐ Continuation Sheet Attached

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

See attached

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on January 31, 2008

NOTE:

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP §713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

Wayne L. Tang
 Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature

Wayne L. Tang
 Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative

36,028
 Registration Number, if applicable

/ROBERT MOSSER/

Examiner/SPE Signature

PROPOSED CLAIMS SERIAL NO. 10/659,878, DOCKET NUMBER 247079-000225USPT

1. (Currently Amended) A method for playing a wagering game having a special multi-level progressive payout with a first progressive jackpot and a second progressive jackpot, the method comprising:

conducting a basic game having a randomly selected outcome;

accepting a basic wager from the player to play the basic game;

presenting a side-wager option to a player, the side-wager option permitting the player to make a side-wager separate from the basic wager to be eligible for the special multi-level progressive payout;

contributing a first percentage of at least one of the wagers to the first progressive jackpot
and contributing a second different percentage of at least one of the wagers to the
second progressive jackpot; and

in response to the player selecting the option to be eligible for the special multi-level progressive payout by making the side-wager separate from the basic wager, crediting the player the first progressive jackpot when a first randomly selected outcome occurs in the basic game and crediting the player the second progressive jackpot when a second randomly selected outcome occurs in the basic game.